Ensuring Data Quality in the Pharmaceutical Industry

Save to myBoK

by Christine M. Collins, MA, RRA

Education and training play a considerable part in efforts to enhance data quality in healthcare. How do other fields work toward data consistency? The author describes how professionals in the pharmaceutical industry manage their data.

Today healthcare data serve a variety of significant functions, such as patient care evaluation; making judgements relating to policy issues, delivery of care, research, funding, and growth; supporting information for insurance and benefit claims; aiding in defending patients, healthcare providers, and their employers in legal affairs; disease classification and progress in issues of public health; educating healthcare professionals; and expanding medical knowledge.

Training and education of those involved in data collection are key components in ensuring the quality, integrity, and reliability of healthcare data for its various uses. Just as this is true for the healthcare industry, the same can be said for the pharmaceutical industry.

This article will concentrate on study design and planning, with a major emphasis on how training and education will help achieve data consistency. Finally, the article will provide the reader with a general understanding of data management within the pharmaceutical industry.

Laying the Foundation

Research data provides information that is statistically analyzed to answer the questions being posed by the study objectives as listed in the protocol. The final compilation of such data and submission to the necessary regulatory authority (i.e., the Food and Drug Administration) significantly impacts a company's chance of gaining marketing approval.

A clinical study begins after development, review, finalization, and approval of a protocol by the sponsoring company. A protocol is a document that describes a clinical trial in detail and provides information and rules for the conduct of the trial to all those involved. In parallel to protocol development, it is recommended that the statistician and clinical project manager prepare a preliminary analysis plan outlining the information that will be included in the final report of the trial. The other members of the clinical research team (such as the medical director, clinical research associates, representatives from regulatory affairs and data management, programmers, and medical report writers) review this outline and provide input. Once this is accomplished, it is easier to identify the data items that need to be collected for eventual analysis.

Case report forms (CRFs) are tools designed to collect the necessary safety/efficacy data consistent with the protocol. Measuring and recording clinical trial data are perhaps the most critical steps in the overall data management process. Therefore, it is important for CRFs to be designed with clarity and ease of use in mind. CRF design has a direct impact on the quality of the data collected for a trial, so it is worthwhile to take time in the design and development of the forms and to develop a layout that is user friendly (see Exhibits 1-2). The clinical research team drafts and reviews the forms. The number of personnel involved depends on the size and organization of a company. Industry experience has demonstrated that CRFs subjected to an interdepartmental review yield a superior final product (e.g., fewer data corrections are needed).

Study Sponsor: Al							
Sponsor's Study No		Subject Number:					
Principal Investigat	or: John Q. Doctor	Study No	Study Number: 100001X				
	PRI	TREAT	MENT PHY	SICAL EXAMINA	TION		
Date of Evaluation (mm/dd/yy) Weight				Height (cm)	Frame Size		
			J		Small Medium Large		
			VITAL	SIGNS			
		Vitals p	verformed af	ter 2 minutes supine			
Pulse (/min) Resp. Rate (/min)			Supine Blood Pressure (mmHg) Temperature (
ننب	نب		للله الله	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			
		Vitals pe	rrformed afti	er 2 minutes standing			
	Pulse (/min)	Vitals pe	rformed afte	er 2 minutes standing Standing Blood Pres	ssure (mmHg)		
	Pulse (/min)	Vitals pe	rformed afti	Standing Blood Pres			
		Vitals pe	rformed afti	Standing Blood Pres			
			,	Standing Blood Pres			
System/Body Part		PH	,	Standing Blood Pres			
	Not Done	PH Normal	YSICAL E	Standing Blood Pres			
System/Body Part General Appearanc Head	Not Done	PH Normal	YSICAL E	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head Eyes	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head Eyes	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearanc Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck Lymph Nodes	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearance Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck Lymph Nodes Skin Lungs	Not Done	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearanc Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck Lymph Nodes Skin Lungs Heart	Not Done e O O O O O O O O O O O O	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearanc Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck Lymph Nodes Skin Lungs Heart Abdomen	Not Done e O O O O O O O O O O O O	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			
General Appearanc Head Eyes Ears, Nose, Throat Neck Lymph Nodes Skin	Not Done O O O O O O O O O O O O O	PH Normal	Abnormal	Standing Blood Pres			

Typically, the draft CRFs are distributed to all reviewers one to two weeks prior to a review meeting. At the meeting, all participants review the CRFs page by page for logic, consistency to the protocol, grammar, spelling, and data flow, noting corrections, changes, or modifications. It is best to set a time frame for this meeting and stay on schedule. After review, revisions to the case report forms are distributed, and a second meeting is scheduled for final review.

All departments can become more involved and familiar with the details of the protocol when they meet to discuss CRFs. The resulting data will have an impact on several different departments, and personnel can enhance data quality prior to the start of the study.

A common pitfall in CRF design is the collection of data outside the scope of the protocol (i.e., too much data). This can be detrimental because as the volume of data required increases, the quality of data recorded decreases. Therefore, it is important to limit data collection to items that are truly necessary to answer the trial objectives. In assessing data requirements, the review team should distinguish between data needed for clinical care of the patient and data necessary to answer the research objectives. Data collection for the trial should be limited to research data. In many trials, only a small portion of the clinical information will end up as part of the trial database.

A Meeting of Minds

Prior to the start of a clinical trial, pharmaceutical companies typically host an investigators' meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to specifically review protocol objectives, tests, data collection, and patient safety. While they are not required by regulation, the pharmaceutical industry has found investigators' meetings to be a useful review mechanism for investigators as well as their staff.

						t initials: l				
Sponsor's Study No.: 12345-XYZ					Subject Number:					
Principal Investigator: John Q. Doctor, M.D.					Study Number: 100001X					
		PRE-TREA	ATMENT CLINICA	L LAB	ORATORY	RESULTS				
		Please reco	ord and note significa-	ance of a	abnormal va	lues only:				
	Clini	ically Significant	(CS); Not Clinically	y Signifi	cant (NCS);	Lab Repeated (RPT)			
		AI AI	I Lab Values within	Norma	d Limite:	1				
					_	,				
		Attach a o	opy of the clinical la	aborato	ry report to	this form.				
		Date S	ample Collected (mr	n/dd/yy)	:					
Hematology	Value	CS, NCS, or RPT	Clinical Chemistry	Value	CS, NCS, or RPT	Urinalysis	Value	CS, NCS		
WBC			Albumin			Specific Grav.				
RBC			Alk. Phos.			pH				
Hemoglobin			ALT (SGPT)			Glucose				
Hematocrit			AST (SGOT)			Ketones				
Platelet Count			Bilirubin, Total			Albumin				
			BUN			Acetone				
Microscopic	Value	CS, NCS,	Calcium					i		
Urinalysis		or RPT	Cholesterol			Other Tests	Value	-		
RBC/HPF			Creatinine							
WBC/HPF			Glucose			Barbiturates				
Casts/LPF			Inorganic Phos.			Morphine				
-1-7			LDH	-		Amphetamines]		
WBC	Value	CS, NCS,	Total Protein			Benzodiazepine]		
Differential		or RPT	Triglycerides			Alcohol]		
Neutrophils			Uric Acid			Cannabinoids		1		
Lymphocytes			Chloride			HBsAg				
Eosinophils			Potassium			RPR/VDRL		1		
Basophils			Sodium							
			GGT							
Monocytes										

Following internal review of CRFs by the sponsor's clinical research team (and preferably before final printing), copies of the case report forms are usually taken to the investigators' meeting. Here, a significant part of the agenda is allocated for page-by-page CRF review, and appropriate written completion of required data elements data is discussed. These standardizations may include examples of date format (mm/dd/yy or dd/mm/yy) and which format to use when no data is available (e.g., dash, nd, na).

This meeting is typically provided for the clinical research coordinators who will be completing the CRFs by extracting information from the patient chart or source documents. Study investigators are strongly encouraged to attend this session, since they are ultimately responsible for the conduct of the trial at their site.

At the investigators' meeting, the review or case report form training session is an opportunity for site personnel to hear a step-by-step plan for data transcription and standardization that will improve data quality. Frequently, clinical research coordinators will identify inconsistencies within the system of CRFs or perceptual differences (e.g., the data field question is understood one way by the sponsor and interpreted another way by the clinical research coordinator).

Clinical research associates (CRAs) attend the investigators' meeting, and they note questions or feedback from clinical research coordinators. This review process can be reinforced by creative methods such as games, quizzes, and so on.

Another recommended approach is to pilot or test the forms at one or more of the sites that will participate in the trial. Providing as much prospective training and communication regarding CRF completion as possible enables the sponsor to gain valuable feedback on potential problems prior to activation of the trial -- a major advantage.

An additional review of CRF completion instructions may be provided at initiation of the site, especially if multiple clinical research coordinators will be completing the forms and were not in attendance at the investigators' meeting.

After study initiation, upon enrollment of the first few subjects as well as throughout the course of the trial, it is highly recommended that the CRA visit the site to further ensure data accuracy. At that time, the CRA will review case report form completion with the clinical research coordinator. Once again, this reinforces definitions of the various data elements to be captured in accordance with the study protocol. Between each monitoring visit, the CRA is responsible for using various means of communication to strengthen the site personnel compliance with data retrieval and documentation.

Managing the Data

The quantity of data collected during a study is much too great for manual analysis. Therefore, almost all clinical data are analyzed by computer. Data management is the process of translating data from CRFs into a format that can be used by a computer and making sure that the data is completely and accurately entered into the computer.

The data management process begins when CRFs are checked against source documents and reviewed for logical errors at the site ("data cleanup"). This step is usually the responsibility of the clinical research associate. Data entered on a CRF are usually coded before they are entered into the computer. Coding often takes place after another visual check for logical errors and missing data. Some companies, however, prefer to enter the data first and follow up with a computer check for errors and missing data.

The most common method of data entry is the double-entry method. Data are entered from the coded CRFs twice, by two different data entry operators. The computer compares the input, either while a second operator enters data or after the second entry is complete. In either scenario, the two data sets are compared with the CRF, and one data set is corrected to agree with the CRF. Some companies use a single-entry method with a visual comparison of the computer printout and the CRF.

Despite the most careful monitoring procedures and visual checks of the CRFs, it is still possible that there will be logical errors and missing data. Clinical programmers write computer programs to check the database for such errors.

Once the computer has identified the errors, clinical data associates or clinical research associates check the CRF for the source of the errors. If the errors cannot be resolved from information on the CRFs, the investigative site must be contacted. Most often information on a source document can resolve the error. The clinical data associate prepares a "query" which is sent to the site (see Exhibit 3). The majority of companies consider the database to be "clean" when all outstanding errors and discrepancies are resolved. The statisticians then receive the database and conduct the statistical analysis.

There is no correct way to conduct a clinical trial. There are many different ways to organize a trial, and choices must be made based on the environment and available resources. It is important to realize that while everyone involved in clinical trials may believe their way of doing things is best, in reality a data management system is successful if, using available resources, it results in the collection of complete, timely, and accurate data that answer the scientific questions.

V= Data Item Verified

exhibit 3—Sample Computer Generated Data Query REQUEST FOR INFORMATION Condition(s) Checked for RFI# Correcti tions 8 and 10 tions 8 and 10 or ASSESSMENT p. 27 are listed ue DRUG XYZ and "I-No", but pt has disco Rand Study Drugs prior to DRUG XYZ and RAND STUDY DRUGS ASSESSMENT p. 27 m the study drugs; please of Questions 8 and 10 to "2-Yes ue provide value or BANDS Not given 7/10/1997 indicate 'Not Done ber: 39.1 Table: DRUG XYZ Dosing PATIENT NUMBER nable PATIENT ase correct Patien NUMBER (S/B 1900037) Number to 190003 r: 39.1 Table: Dose END DATE 10/20/1997 END DATE and CONT cannot both be give 5/23/1997 END of STUDY CRF RAND DRUG but Randomized Drug ACCOUNTABILITY Accountability and Con and COMPLIANCE FOR DATA MANAGEMENT USE ONLY Site Signatur Date Database Updated ____ / _ CRA

Opportunities for HIM Professionals

HIM experience lends itself to various career opportunities in pharmaceutical research. One area of opportunity is data management. First and foremost, in clinical trials it is beneficial that the data managers understand the clinical issues surrounding the trial and are able to interpret medical record data. Other prerequisites of equal importance are organizational skills and attention to detail. Individuals must be knowledgeable about the trial data to be able to intelligently perform the job. As trials become increasingly computerized, it is also important that these individuals be familiar with computer systems to the extent required for the job. In addition, given the nature of data management and the fact that there are frequent deadlines and urgent and sometimes unexpected requests by the sponsor, it is also advised that these individuals be able to work under pressure.

References

"Glossary of Clinical Research Terminology." Applied Clinical Trials 12 (1997): 18-34.

McFadden, Eleanor. Management of Data in Clinical Trials. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1998.

"Quality Healthcare Data and Information," Journal of AHIMA 68, no. 7 (1997): insert.

Rondel, R.K., S.A. Varley, and C.F. Webb. Clinical Data Management. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1993.

Clinical Research Definitions

Case report form (CRF) -- A printed, optical, or electronic document designated to record all of the protocol-required information to be reported to the sponsor on each trial subject

Clean database -- One from which errors have been eliminated and in which measurements and other values are provided in the same units

Clinical research associate (CRA) -- A person employed by a sponsor (or by a contract research organization acting on a sponsor's behalf) who monitors the progress of investigator sites participating in a clinical trial. This person is sometimes referred to as a monitor

Clinical research coordinator -- A person who handles most of the administrative responsibilities of a clinical trial, acts as liaison between investigative site and sponsor, and reviews all data and records before a monitor's visit (also called a trial coordinator, study coordinator, research coordinator, clinical coordinator, or research nurse)

Clinical trial/study -- Any investigation in human subjects intended to discover or verify the clinical, pharmacological, and/or other pharmacodynamic effects of an investigational product(s), and/or to identify any adverse reactions to investigational product(s), and/or to study absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of an investigational product(s), with the object of ascertaining its safety and/or efficacy

Good clinical practice (GCP) -- A standard for the design, conduct, performance, monitoring, auditing, recording, analyses, and reporting of clinical trials that provide assurance that the data and reported results are credible and accurate, and that the rights, integrity, and confidentiality of trial subjects are protected

Investigator -- A person responsible for the conduct of the clinical trial at a trial site. If a trial is conducted by a team of individuals at a trial site, the investigator is the responsible leader of the team and may be called the principal investigator

Monitoring -- The act of overseeing the progress of a clinical trial and of ensuring that it is conducted in accordance with the protocol, standard operating procedures, and good clinical practices and the applicable regulatory requirement(s)

Protocol -- A document that describes the objective(s), design, methodology, statistical consideration, and organization of a trial. The protocol usually gives the background and rationale for the trial, but these could be provided in other protocol-referenced documents

Site -- The location where trial-related activities are actually conducted. This may be in a hospital, private practice setting, or outpatient clinic dependent on the nature of the population

Source documents -- Original documents, data, and records (e.g., hospital records, clinical and office charts, laboratory notes, memoranda, subject diaries or evaluation checklists, pharmacy dispensing records, recorded data from automated instruments, copies or transcriptions certified after verification as being accurate copies, microfiche, photographic negatives, microfilm or magnetic media, x-rays, subject files, and records kept at the pharmacy, at the laboratories and at medico-technical departments involved in the clinical trial)

Sponsor -- An individual, company, government agency, institution, or organization that takes responsibility for the initiation, management, and financing of a clinical trial but does not actually conduct the investigation Standard operating procedures (SOPs) -- Detailed, written instructions to achieve uniformity of the performance of a specific function

Subject -- An individual who participates in a clinical trial, either as recipient of the investigational product(s) or as a control. A subject may be a healthy human or a patient with a disease

Off the Beaten Path

Article citation:

Collins, Christine M. "Ensuring Data Quality in the Pharmaceutical Industry." *Journal of AHIMA* 69, no.6 (1998): 34-39.

Driving the Power of Knowledge

Copyright 2022 by The American Health Information Management Association. All Rights Reserved.